The Consumer Advocate

PO Box 23135 Terrace on the Square St. John's, NL Canada A1B 4J9 Tel: 709-724-3800 Fax: 709-754-3800

August 31, 2018

Board of Commissions of Public Utilities 120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 2140 St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention:

G. Cheryl Blundon, Director of

Corporate Services / Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Blundon:

RE: Newfoundland Power's 2019-2020 General Rate Application

Further to the above-captioned, enclosed please find enclosed the original and twelve (12) copies of the Consumer Advocate's Requests for Information numbered CA-NP-128 to CA-NP-134.

A copy of this letter, together with enclosure, has been forwarded directly to the parties listed below.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours truly,

Stephen Fitzgerald

Counsel to the Consumer Advocate

Encl./bb

cc

Newfoundland Power Inc.

NP Regulatory (regulatory@newfoundlandpower.com)
Gerard Hayes (ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com)
Kelly Hopkins (khopkins@newfoundlandpower.com)
Liam O'Brien (lobrien@curtisdawe.nf.ca)

IBEW

Mark Murray (mmurray@mwhslaw.com)

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities
Cheryl Blundon (cblundon@pub.nl.ca)
Jacqui Glynn (jglynn@pub.nl.ca)
Maureen Greene (mgreene@pub.nl.ca)
PUB Official Email (ito@pub.nl.ca)

IN THE MATTER OF

the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994 SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the "EPCA") and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990, Chapter P-47 (the "Act"), as amended; and

IN THE MATTER OF a General Rate

Application filed by Newfoundland Power Inc. to establish customer electricity rates for 2019 and 2020

CONSUMER ADVOCATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

CA-NP-128 to CA-NP-134

Issued: August 31, 2018

CA-NP-128 As regards Newfoundland Power's response to CA-NP-073, the credit rating reports supplied as attachment C are not readable. Please provide readable copies.

2 3 4

CA-NP-129 As regards Newfoundland Power's response to CA-NP-080, Newfoundland Power was asked about the risk of utility assets being taken out of rate base, since they were no longer "used and useful" and to indicate whether it faces materially different risks of this nature to the Alberta utilities. In answer it refers to P.U. 13 (2016) where the Board did not allow additions to *Hydro's* rate base. Since the answer provides no information either specific to Newfoundland Power or with respect to removing assets from rate base, is the implication that Newfoundland Power has never been required by the Board to take assets out of its *existing* rate base, since they were no longer used and useful? Is it also the case that Newfoundland Power has never been asked by the Board to verify that all assets in rate base continue to be used and useful?

CA-NP-130 As regards Newfoundland Power's response to CA-NP-081, the question specifically asked for two reports "Capital Market Assumptions and Methodology" by AON Hewitt and Economic and Market Outlook by Mercer, which were provided in 2016 in answer to CA-NP-014, neither were provided. Please provide the most recent copies of each report.

CA-NP-131 As regards Newfoundland Power's response to CA-NP-097, in its answer Newfoundland Power took "recent" to mean 2018. Does this also pertain to analyst reports on Fortis published in 2017?

CA-NP-132 As regards Newfoundland Power's response to CA-NP-115, please provide the worksheet in Excel format used to produce Attachment A, which is included in the response to CA-NP-115.

30 CA-NP-133 In CA-NP-116, Mr. Coyne was asked to provide the raw or unadjusted betas for his proxy groups. He declined to provide them for Value Line since he "does not have the data." However, he indicates (page 37) that Value Line uses the Blume methodology in which case it is a mechanical adjustment to convert raw betas to adjusted betas as well as doing the opposite by converting adjusted betas back to raw betas: no "data" is required. Can Mr. Coyne please provide the Value Line unadjusted betas or explain why he cannot perform this calculation.

1	CA-NP-134	As regards Newfoundland Power's responses to CA-NP-121 parts (a) and (b),	
2		neither answer is responsive.	
3			
4		(a)	Please provide a "responsive" answer to CA-NP-121 part (a) that provides
5			Mr. Coyne's rationale for deciding that it was only worthwhile to compare
6			NP's credit metrics to his U.S. sample, and not to Canadian utilities.
7			
8		(b)	Please respond to part (b) of CA-NP-121 as copied below:
9			
0			"b) Please provide a comparison of NP to the Canadian utilities using
1			the credit metrics used by DBRS."
2			
3		(c)	Please calculate the S&P metrics for NP, and compare to all Canadian and
4			U.S. utilities that have S&P ratings and data available. Please provide the
5			data and workpapers (in excel format) used for this analysis.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 31th day of August, 2018.

Per:

Stephen Fitzgerald

Counsel for the Consumer Advocate

Terrace on the Square, Level 2, P.O. Box 23135 St. John's, Newfoundland & Labrador A1B 4J9

Telephone: (709) 724-3800 Telecopier: (709) 754-3800